REMUNERATION COMMITTEE

Monday 14th September 10.00am-12.30pm

Members: Lady S Rice (Convenor) (SR), Dr A Richards (AR), Dr C Masters (CM), Mr A Johnston (AJ),

In Attendance: The Principal (Item 3 onwards)(TOS), Ms S Smith (SS), Ms Z Lewandowski (ZL), Mr M Peggie (MP), Professor N Brown (NB) (Item 3 onwards), Mr S Laver (SL) (Items 1 and 2 only)

Apologies:

Notes: Dr A Richards and Professor N Brown will join the meeting by telephone

Item	Summary of Discussion	Action
1	Welcome and Apologies	
	 SR welcomed all attendees 	
2	Remuneration of the University Principal and Vice-Chancellor The Remuneration Committee (RC) received papers from MP and SL presenting data and related analysis on reward practice for Heads of Higher Educations Institutions in the UK and overseas. RC welcomed the paper In discussion the following points were made: • The importance of consideration of the remuneration of the Principal in relation to appropriate benchmarks. • The need for pre-negotiation with candidates to both appropriately manage applicant expectations and to support RC in reviewing and refining the potential reward arrangements. • The importance of ensuring that our offering to candidates considers how we might support appointments from outside of the UK including relocation and access to tax and pensions advice.	
	RC established an appropriate envelope for compensation with an upper limit agreed. RC requested that a further opportunity to discuss this matter	Action: MP
	following shortlisting of candidates would be helpful	
	TOS Joined the meeting	
3	Review of previous minute RC approved the minute of the last meeting	

Report on outcomes of the Grade 10 and equivalent salary review and Central Academic Promotions Committee	
MP Introduced the report which summarises the outcomes of the 2016 Grade 10 and Equivalent Staff Salary Review and 2016 Central Academic Promotions Process.	
RC welcomed the paper.	
 In discussion the following points were made: These process in the University are seen as being fair and reasonable. The contextual data provided is very helpful and supports effective consideration in the Grade 10 review 	
The consideration of gender equality in these processes is important and the commitment to this activity is clear from the paper presented.	
 That it would be useful to review whether the balance between lump sum payments and increments continues to be appropriate in advance of the 2017 process. 	Action: MP
Progress on Grade 10 Equal Pay Activity MP introduced the paper which provides an update on actions to reduce the Grade 10 gender pay gap.	
RC welcomed the paper	
 In discussion the following points were made: The importance of continued commitment to this important activity. The importance of focusing on improvements that are sustainable over the long term The expectation that the changes in reporting practice in REF2020 would reduce the likelihood of there being a high volume of retention cases in 2019/202. 	
Russell Group: Professorial Reward Practice	
MP presented a paper on pay practice for professorial and equivalent staff in Russell Group HEIs.	
RC welcomed the paper.	
 In discussion the following points were made: The usefulness of periodic review of our practice against our peers The importance of continuing to make effective use of benchmark data in reward decision making 	
	review and Central Academic Promotions Committee MP Introduced the report which summarises the outcomes of the 2016 Grade 10 and Equivalent Staff Salary Review and 2016 Central Academic Promotions Process. RC welcomed the paper. In discussion the following points were made: • These process in the University are seen as being fair and reasonable. • The contextual data provided is very helpful and supports effective consideration in the Grade 10 review. • The consideration of gender equality in these processes is important and the commitment to this activity is clear from the paper presented. • That it would be useful to review whether the balance between lump sum payments and increments continues to be appropriate in advance of the 2017 process. Progress on Grade 10 Equal Pay Activity MP introduced the paper which provides an update on actions to reduce the Grade 10 gender pay gap. RC welcomed the paper In discussion the following points were made: • The importance of continued commitment to this important activity. • The importance of focusing on improvements that are sustainable over the long term • The expectation that the changes in reporting practice in REF2020 would reduce the likelihood of there being a high volume of retention cases in 2019/202. Russell Group: Professorial Reward Practice MP presented a paper on pay practice for professorial and equivalent staff in Russell Group HEIs. RC welcomed the paper. In discussion the following points were made: • The usefulness of periodic review of our practice against our peers • The importance of continuing to make effective use of

7	Updates MP presented a paper summarising the decisions taken by RC by correspondence between meetings and a paper providing scheme updates
	RC welcomed the papers.
8	Any Other Business
	No other business was raised.
9	Date of Next Meeting Date of next meeting should be reviewed to support the consideration of items raised in item 2